1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	IN RE: INCRETIN MIMETICS) MDL Case No.13md2452 AJB (MDD) PRODUCTS LIABILITY
12	LITIGATION As to all related and member cases
13	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL NOVO NORDISK INC.'S
14) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION) TO DISOUALIFY DR. FLEMING AS
15	AN EXPERT FOR PLAINTIFFS
16	(Doc. No. 948)
17	
18	Presently before the Court is Defendant Novo Nordisk Inc.'s ("Novo") motion to
19	seal its reply in further support of Novo's motion to disqualify Dr. Fleming as an expert
20	for Plaintiffs. (Doc. No. 948.) The Court has previously issued orders sealing Novo's
21	motion to disqualify and Plaintiffs' opposition to Novo's motion. (See Doc. Nos. 924,
22	941.) Given that the information attached to and discussed within Novo's reply brief is
23	similar to the information and documents already maintained under seal in connection
24	with the disqualification motion, the Court finds good cause exists to seal Novo's reply
25	and the attached exhibit. The Court incorporates by reference the analysis as set forth in
26	the previous orders sealing related documents. (Doc. Nos. 924, 941.)
27	
28	///

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Novo's motion to file its reply and attached exhibit under seal. The Clerk of Court is instructed to file the currently sealed, lodged proposed documents under seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED: February 19, 2015

Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia U.S. District Judge